

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,881	AIPPERSPACH ET AL.	
	Examiner Thuan Do	Art Unit 2825	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thuan Do. (3) ____.

(2) Patrick Caldwell. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 22 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claim 22 has 4 paragraphs which examiner would understand that what the functions do in each paragraph including their terminologies. Mr. Caldwell explains as follow:

1. the first paragraph is pointed to the figure 1 as general clock pulses, the "between a start and an end" are points to the up and down of the clock pulses without a written supported function in the body of specification.

2. the 2nd paragraph, Mr. Caldwell agrees that the "starting production" is not in the written body of specification and point the "output pulse" and "input pulse" to the figure 3 with "Nclk_out" and "Nclk_in".

3. the paragraphs 3 and 4, Mr. Caldwell points to the figures 1,2,3 and specification pages 7,8,9 and emphasizes in page 8, lines 17-30 and page 9, lines 5-10 where the function of "shorter than" is described but Mr. Caldwell cannot find down the claimed function of "greater than" which he uses as an assumed function.

Claim 29 is an apparatus claim.

Since the unknown terminologies and functions of each paragraph, examiner cannot focus into claim for searching therefore the 112 second rejection is issued.

Alvarado

Primary examiner

03/16/2006